

LOGICAL APPROACH
P. O. Box 20405 - - - Las Vegas, NV 89112 - - - (702) 898 - 9802

Visit us on the Web at www.thelogicalapproach.com

2015 COLLEGE & PRO FOOTBALL NEWSLETTER

Issue # 5

Games of October 1 - 5, 2015

With this issue we debut our 2015 Spreadsheet. This exclusive feature provides concise comparative data for all 128 FBS college and 32 NFL teams. With the data you'll be able to analyze games of your choice, including games on which we have just an opinion or lean. From the data you may be able to uncover some additional selections that may meet your own criterion or selection preferences. The Spreadsheet should be viewed not as a substitute for the selections in the Newsletter, but rather as a supplement. By looking at how teams match up in various fundamental and technical areas you'll be able to better see the 'hows' and 'whys' of football. Let's look at the data which is the same for both the colleges and the pros.

The first data is very basic, with the straight up (**W-L**) and pointspread (**C-N-P**) records shown followed by the average points scored and allowed plus margin of victory/defeat. Then comes rush, pass and total yardage per game averages, (against all foes, including non I-A college teams). Offensive data is given first, then defensive data. Also shown are net total yards per game gained/allowed and net turnover margin for the season (not per game average). We then show several sets of Power Ratings, which we discuss below.

AVE PWR RTG is a team's Average Power Rating and is based on a team's results, measured totally by final scores. These are 'raw' Ratings that are the basis for more detailed Ratings. These are evaluated on a weekly basis and adjusted based upon comparing expected victory margin vs. actual margin, as predicted by current Power Rating and take into account, in the case of the colleges, a team's Conference Rating.

AVE OPP PWR RTG is the Average Opponents' Power Rating and is based upon the same concepts as a team's own Power Ratings. It is derived simply by taking an average of all opponents' Power Ratings at the time the game was played. This gives us a basic measure of a team's level of competition. For the colleges Opponents' Power Ratings are also influenced by the strength of a team's Conference Rating.

NET CHG is the pure change in a team's Power Rating from the season's start and measure whether a team has improved or worsened during the season.

LINE POWR is the Line Power rating and is an attempt to measure a team's strength based on both how the public perceives the team (in terms of the Average Line Played Against, or their average pointspread) and how the team actually performs on the field (in terms of Average Result Vs. the Line). A higher number is better and we use a weighting formula that places somewhat more of an emphasis on how the team performs as opposed to how the team is expected to perform. We weight "Reality" heavier than "Perception."

GAME PLAY POWR is Game Play Power Rating and is an attempt to evaluate the result of each games in a numerical fashion by looking at other factors in addition to the final score. In particular we look at rushing yardage, passing yardage and turnovers in addition to the final score and develop a single measure to indicate which team played the better game regardless of the outcome. Sometimes the better team doesn't win. But that's not the way to wager. We want to be able to use this measure as a means of finding attractive underdogs who might not have a good win-loss record but have played much more competitively than final scores might indicate. Conversely, this measure helps us find overvalued and false favorites.

COMP POWR represents Composite Power Rating and is a combination of the two Ratings just described plus the team's Average Power Rating and their Opponents' Average Power Rating. It is the one number that attempts to take into account all of the important factors in analyzing a game and does so without bias. It's based on a formula that we've used for years. And as the season progresses it represents a pretty good measure of the actual difference between teams.

Finally, '**X FACTOR**' is a measure of correlation between a team's ability to gain or allow yards and their ability to score or prevent points. A positive number is indicative of an efficient team while a negative number indicates an inefficient team. We'll have more on this interesting, revealing and very useful statistic next week.

COLLEGE SELECTION OF THE WEEK: Oregon - 7 ½ over COLORADO – That was one ugly loss Utah pinned on the Ducks last week. The 62-20 spanking was Oregon's first double digit home loss since late 2006. Since the start of 2007 Oregon had been 50-6 SU with only 7 wins be less than 10 points! The Ducks' chances for making it to the 4 team Playoff were all but gone with their loss at Michigan State. Now they are kaput. But a Pac 12 title still is possible and Oregon controls its own fate. Likely needing to win out, the Ducks still must face all 5 Pac 12 North foes (Utah is in the Pac 12 South). Colorado is an improving team but has not fared well against Oregon, losing all 4 games as Pac 12 foes with each loss by at least 34 points and being outscored 216-42! Clearly the Oregon defense is down considerably from the past few seasons but they still have speed and size edges over the Buffs. The gap between these teams has narrowed but after being favored by from 30 ½ to 47 points in those 4 prior meetings laying less barely a TD is too much of an overreaction. Oregon has also faced a much more difficult early season schedule. Oregon wins 48-31.

Other Featured College Selections

Kansas State + 8 over OKLAHOMA STATE – Oklahoma State was fortunate to get by Texas last week, winning 30-27 in their Big 12 opener and improving to 4-0. Kansas State was idle and opens conference play this week. K-State consistently overachieves under brilliant coach Snyder who has been able to extract the most from the talent he recruits. Both teams defeated UTSA in non-conference play – K-State winning 30-3 on the road a week before OSU won 69-14 at home. K-State's yardage edge was 418-229 whereas OSU's edge was 534-346. OSU has the more potent offense while KSU is stronger defensively. The teams have split their last 4 meetings but K-State has covered in all 4 and is 6-1 ATS vs OSU in the past decade. KSU covered as 13 point dogs in a 33-29 loss here in 2013 and also as a 20 point dog in a 52-45 loss here in 2011. Since returning to K-State in 2007, Snyder is 5-1 SU, 4-2 ATS in conference openers. His teams are well prepared and fundamentally sound. The week of rest helps. Oklahoma State wins but by just 3430.

NAVY - 5 ½ over Air Force – Navy is 8-2 ATS vs Air Force over the past decade although the teams have split ATS decisions the past 4 seasons (Navy is 7-3 SU). Air Force won last season, 30-21, recapturing the Commander In Chief Trophy. It will be widely reported this week that the Underdog is 8-2 in this series in the past decade but it is to be noted that Navy is 5-0 as the dog and 3-2 when favored. Both teams have relentless rushing attacks that are averaging over 360 ypg, ranking #2 and #3. The lone loss suffered by either team thus far was Air Force's loss at Michigan State, the best team played by either foe. But Navy has also been tested. In their first season as conference member (AAC) Navy defeated E Carolina and UConn the past two weeks. Air Force had a Bye last week, giving them added time to prepare for one of their two big rivals. Navy is at Notre Dame next week but service academies rarely get caught looking ahead. Navy has been the better program for many years which fits nicely with revenge. Navy wins 37-24.

Unlv + 7 over NEVADA – This is rivalry game although UNR has dominated the series, winning 9 of 10 over the past decade (7-3 ATS). UNLV's lone win came here in 2013. Both teams have played tough non-conference schedules with Nevada losing to Arizona and at Texas A&M and UNLV losing to UCLA and at Michigan. UNLV's defense actually fared well in those loses, allowing just 5.4 and 5.9 yards per play. Both teams enter off of wins. Nevada held on for a 24-21 win at Buffalo. UNLV blew out FCS Idaho State 80-8, gaining confidence and setting several school records. This is clearly a step up for the Rebels but not as much a step up when they opened the season with a competitive 38-30 road loss at Northern Illinois (which played well in a 20-13 loss at Ohio State). First year coach Sanchez moved from high school to UNLV but had the smart sense to surround himself with several assistants with major college coaching experience. UNLV has played hard and showed no quit vs UCLA and Michigan. Nevada wins but by just 27-24.

Best of the Rest (Opinions)

CINCINNATI + 6 ½ over Miami Fla [Thursday]	Memphis - 8 ½ over SOUTH FLORIDA [Friday]
North Carolina + 7 ½ over GEORGIA TECH	Toledo - 6 ½ over BALL STATE

AKRON + 2 ½ over Ohio U	MASSACHUSETTS - 3 over Florida International
Minnesota + 4 over NORTHWESTERN	Louisville + 4 ½ over NORTH CAROLINA STATE
OKLAHOMA - 7 over West Virginia	TEXAS A&M - 6 ½ over Mississippi State
Western Kentucky - 7 over RICE	Houston - 5 ½ over TULSA
Alabama + 2 ½ over GEORGIA	Mississippi - 7 over FLORIDA
ILLINOIS + 7 over Nebraska	Northern Illinois - 2 over CENTRAL MICHIGAN
Baylor - 17 over Texas Tech [Arlington, TX]	Washington State + 19 over CALIFORNIA
AUBURN - 20 over San Jose State	MIDDLE TENNESSEE - 1 over Vanderbilt
Arkansas + 6 ½ over TENNESSEE	Georgia Southern - 6 over UL MONROE
UTAH STATE - 4 ½ over Colorado State	New Mexico State + 12 ½ over NEW MEXICO

The Rest (Leans)

CHARLOTTE + 23 ½ over Temple [Friday]	BYU - 18 ½ over Connecticut [Friday]
PENN STATE - 21 * over Army	Bowling Green - 8 ½ over BUFFALO
Wyoming + 25 ½ over APPALACHIAN STATE	Miami Ohio + 10 ½ over KENT STATE
IOWA STATE - 16 over Kansas	Purdue + 22 over MICHIGAN STATE
WISCONSIN - 6 ½ over Iowa	Pittsburgh + 4 ½ over VIRGINIA TECH
Ucf - 3 ½ * over TULANE	UCLA - 13 ½ over Arizona State
Arizona + 13 * over STANFORD	TCU - 15 over Texas
Boston College + 6 ½ over DUKE	Ohio State - 21 over INDIANA
BOISE STATE - 24 ½ over Hawaii	ARKANSAS STATE - 20 ½ over Idaho
LOUISIANA TECH - 18 over UL Lafayette	MISSOURI - 4 over South Carolina
LSU - 44 ½ over Eastern Michigan	MARSHALL - 18 ½ over Old Dominion
East Carolina - 5 ½ over SMU	North Texas + 16 over SOUTHERN MISS
TROY - 6 over South Alabama	Florida State - 19 over WAKE FOREST
Michigan - 16 over MARYLAND	UT San Antonio - 3 over UTEP
CLEMSON Pick 'em over Notre Dame	Fresno State + 9 over SAN DIEGO STATE

* Projected Lines

NFL SELECTION OF THE WEEK: WASHINGTON + 3 ½ over Philadelphia – The Eagles got their first win of the season on Sunday at the Jets despite an offense that gained just 231 yards but benefitted from 4 takeaways and a special teams TD. Washington is rested following last Thursday's loss at the Giants. They have been able to run the football on offense while stuffing the run on defense. The Eagles have seen their passing yardage drop game by game from 336 to 219 to 108. Each team defeated the other at home last season, each by exactly a FG. The Eagles are questioning themselves and searching for answers to their sluggish start while Washington has been more competitive than expected. Although they've been minus in turnovers in each game the 'Skins have outgained each of their 3 foes. The Eagles have been outgained in 2 of their 3 games, outyarding only Atlanta in their opener (by just 4 yards). Although it may not last, Washington has played the better, more consistent football. Washington wins 20-17.

Other Featured NFL Selections:

N Y Jets - 2 ½ over Miami (London, England) – Note the early 9:30 AM EDT start time for the first of three London games this season. In losing to Philadelphia the Jets defense played well, holding the Eagles to just 231 yards of offense. Their own offense was hurt by the absence due to injury of several key performers at RB and WR but should be healthier for this game. Miami was putrid in its home loss to Buffalo, outplayed on both sides of the football. Coach Philbin may be in his final game as with Bye for both teams up next. The Jets have played the much better football over the first 3 weeks and Miami will face a defense every bit as tough as Buffalo's. Of the teams, the Jets appear more capable of bouncing back. N Y Jets win 23-13.

Houston + 6 ½ over ATLANTA – Houston got its first win (and cover) in a dull 19-9 win over Tampa Bay while the Falcons improved to a perfect 3-0 both SU and ATS with their wild 39-28 win at Dallas. Atlanta is much improved with coach Quinn on the sidelines. It should be noted that all 3 wins have come vs NFC East teams. Houston continues to have concerns at QB which may be alleviated this week if RB Foster is cleared to play, although they did rush for 186 yards vs Tampa. The Texans' strength remains their defense and the high potent Atlanta offense will face the best defense they will have seen thus far. On a yards per play basis the Falcons have been outgained in each of their 3 games, a fundamental vulnerability that makes it tough to lay a TD, especially with the weaker defense. Atlanta wins but by just 24-21.

SAN DIEGO - 7 ½ over Cleveland – Few home teams in recent memory have been able to claim they lost at home to Oakland but the Browns did just that last Sunday. San Diego is off of back to back road losses at quality foes (Cincinnati and Minnesota). Both teams are 1-2 both SU and ATS. Man for man the Chargers have the edge at most positions vs the Browns, especially at QB. Cleveland has been outgained in all 3 games, twice by more than 100 yards. In fact, the Chargers own most of the primary and secondary statistical edges despite having played tougher foes. Competitive road losses such as those suffered by the Chargers rarely call for downward Power Rating adjustments but do provide harbingers of above average efforts upon returning home and stepping down in class. San Diego wins 31-14.

Best of the Rest (Opinions)

INDIANAPOLIS - 9 ½ over Jacksonville	CHICAGO + 3 over Oakland
CINCINNATI - 3 ½ over Kansas City	Minnesota + 6 ½ over DENVER
ARIZONA - 6 ½ over St Louis	Dallas + 4 * over NEW ORLEANS

The Rest (Leans)

Baltimore - 2 ½ over PITTSBURGH (Thursday)	Carolina - 3 over TAMPA BAY
BUFFALO - 5 ½ over N Y Giants	Green Bay - 8 ½ over SAN FRANCISCO
SEATTLE - 9 ½ over Detroit (Monday)	BYES – New England, Tennessee

Best of the NFL Totals

N Y Jets/Miami UNDER 41 ½	Carolina/Tampa Bay UNDER 40
Philadelphia/Washington UNDER 47	Minnesota/Denver UNDER 43
St Louis/Arizona OVER 42 ½	Detroit/Seattle OVER 43

Money Line Recommendations

College:	CINCINNATI	AKRON	Louisville	Alabama
Pro:	N Y Jets	CHICAGO	WASHINGTON	Dallas

NOTE: All Selections are picked Against the Spread (ATS). Unless otherwise indicated, HOME TEAMS are in CAPS. All material is provided as news matter only and is not to be used in violation of any Federal, State or Local law(s).

LOGICAL APPROACH's 2015 PRO FOOTBALL SPREADSHEET – Current NFL Data Complete through Monday, September 28, 2015

TEAM	W	L	C	N	P	AVE PTS FOR	AVE PTS AGT	AVE MGN	AVE RUN YPG	AVE PAS YPG	AVE TOT YPG	AVE RUN YPG	AVE PAS YPG	AVE TOT YPG	AVE NET YPG	NET T/O	AVE PWR RTG	AVE OPP PWR RTG	NET PWR RTG CHG	LINE POWR	GAME PLAY POWR	COMP POWR	'X' FCTR
BALTIMORE	0	3	0	3	0	23.3	28.0	-4.7	73	282	355	84	291	375	-20	-1	104.2	99.0	-4	92.1	95.7	99.0	-5
PITTSBURGH	2	1	2	0	1	25.3	17.3	8.0	93	299	392	87	255	343	49	0	105.2	105.7	4	112.5	104.5	106.6	2
N Y JETS	2	1	2	1	0	22.7	13.7	9.0	101	233	333	107	192	298	35	5	101.5	97.3	3	113.3	108.5	104.4	7
MIAMI	1	2	1	2	0	17.0	24.7	-7.7	73	272	344	145	246	391	-47	-2	95.2	97.0	-3	86.2	87.7	92.3	-1
JACKSONVILLE	1	2	1	2	0	16.3	30.3	-14.0	92	226	318	91	283	373	-55	-3	92.8	105.7	-1	84.3	86.2	92.4	-14
INDIANAPOLIS	1	2	0	3	0	18.7	26.7	-8.0	97	245	342	112	261	373	-31	-7	98.5	99.3	-3	85.7	88.6	94.1	-5
HOUSTON	1	2	1	2	0	18.7	20.0	-1.3	115	255	370	109	224	333	37	-2	101.0	99.0	-2	97.6	95.8	98.9	-6
ATLANTA	3	0	3	0	0	29.7	24.0	5.7	106	305	412	96	282	378	34	2	98.0	104.7	8	109.5	107.2	103.5	5
CAROLINA	3	0	2	1	0	23.7	16.0	7.7	132	216	348	76	239	315	33	4	104.5	94.7	-2	107.9	113.8	105.1	6
TAMPA BAY	1	2	1	2	0	16.3	26.7	-10.4	96	212	308	138	210	348	-40	0	88.8	92.0	-4	87.4	92.6	89.9	-10
N Y GIANTS	1	2	2	1	0	26.0	24.0	2.0	93	253	347	75	336	410	-64	5	101.5	100.3	0	103.5	110.7	103.5	23
BUFFALO	2	1	2	1	0	33.3	22.7	10.6	153	220	373	74	327	401	-28	5	109.2	103.7	2	115.9	113.6	110.3	25
OAKLAND	2	1	2	1	0	25.7	28.7	-3.0	105	283	388	92	323	415	-27	0	90.5	99.3	3	98.4	99.6	95.7	1
CHICAGO	0	3	0	3	0	15.3	35.0	-19.7	132	162	294	136	195	331	-37	-1	89.0	107.0	-1	76.5	89.2	90.1	-24
PHILADELPHIA	1	2	1	2	0	19.3	21.0	-1.7	64	221	285	87	272	359	-74	2	101.2	102.3	0	95.9	98.5	99.8	16
WASHINGTON	1	2	1	2	0	18.3	19.7	-1.4	144	228	372	75	202	277	94	-5	92.5	101.3	5	100.1	95.0	96.3	-20
KANSAS CITY	1	2	1	2	0	26.3	29.7	-3.4	105	218	323	94	287	381	-58	-3	104.2	106.3	0	95.4	94.9	101.9	9
CINCINNATI	3	0	3	0	0	28.3	18.7	9.6	129	285	414	77	256	333	82	2	101.8	97.3	3	113.4	110.3	104.9	0
CLEVELAND	1	2	1	2	0	19.3	24.0	-4.7	86	230	317	158	237	396	-79	-2	91.0	93.3	-2	92.8	91.5	91.9	15
SAN DIEGO	1	2	1	2	0	22.0	27.7	-5.7	105	297	402	136	189	325	77	-3	98.0	100.0	-2	92.0	93.8	96.4	-29
GREEN BAY	3	0	3	0	0	32.0	22.7	9.3	128	249	377	128	223	351	26	3	109.5	102.0	0	110.4	111.1	108.0	8
SAN FRANCISCO	1	2	1	2	0	15.0	31.0	-16.0	148	172	320	98	284	382	-62	-4	95.5	101.0	-7	79.7	88.9	92.1	-15
MINNESOTA	2	1	2	1	0	20.0	16.7	3.3	144	150	294	119	243	362	-68	3	96.2	99.0	3	103.3	106.6	100.3	23
DENVER	3	0	3	0	0	24.7	16.3	8.4	57	234	291	82	177	259	32	6	107.8	103.3	2	111.3	107.5	107.5	15
ST LOUIS	1	2	1	2	0	16.7	22.3	-5.6	71	203	274	123	202	325	-51	-1	104.0	104.0	-3	91.5	91.6	99.0	1
ARIZONA	3	0	3	0	0	42.0	16.3	25.7	125	266	391	89	211	300	91	3	103.0	93.3	9	135.5	115.0	110.0	7
DALLAS	2	1	1	2	0	25.0	25.0	0.0	105	275	381	88	230	318	63	-3	107.8	100.0	-6	100.0	97.4	102.6	-16
NEW ORLEANS	0	3	1	2	0	20.0	28.0	-8.0	76	294	370	126	271	397	-27	-3	92.0	96.7	-1	88.6	89.2	91.7	-3
DETROIT	0	3	0	3	0	18.7	27.7	-9.0	45	260	305	112	284	396	-91	-2	100.0	100.0	-4	88.7	88.4	95.4	8
SEATTLE	1	2	1	2	0	24.7	20.3	4.4	134	212	346	100	186	286	60	1	112.5	100.3	-4	102.4	106.0	106.7	-2
NEW ENGLAND	3	0	2	0	1	39.7	23.3	16.4	87	359	446	117	252	369	78	3	116.0	101.7	1	119.8	109.2	112.5	3
TENNESSEE	1	2	2	1	0	29.7	25.7	4.0	126	250	376	114	195	308	67	-2	87.0	93.3	6	107.7	100.1	95.0	-10

LOGICAL APPROACH's 2015 COLLEGE FOOTBALL SPREADSHEET – Current College Data Complete through Saturday, September 26, 2015

TEAM	W	L	C	N	P	AVE PTS FOR	AVE PTS AGT	AVE MGN	AVE RUN YPG	AVE PAS YPG	AVE TOT YPG	AVE RUN YPG	AVE PAS YPG	AVE TOT YPG	AVE NET YPG	NET T/O	AVE PWR RTG	AVE OPP PWR RTG	NET PWR RTG CHG	LINE POWR	GAME PLAY POWR	COMP POWR	'X' FCTR
MIAMI FLA	3	0	1	1	0	41.7	17.7	24.0	184	288	472	143	167	310	162	8	187.1	155.6	2	115.4	119.0	152.8	15
CINCINNATI	2	2	1	3	0	40.2	32.5	7.7	210	412	622	173	217	390	232	-10	181.4	145.1	-4	99.9	97.5	141.5	-47
MEMPHIS ST	4	0	2	2	0	53.8	29.2	24.6	228	342	570	120	334	454	116	2	179.1	162.6	5	119.9	112.9	150.9	20
SOUTH FLORIDA	1	2	2	1	0	27.3	24.0	3.3	221	155	377	135	210	345	32	1	171.1	163.6	3	104.6	105.9	143.3	-16
TEMPLE	3	0	2	1	0	28.7	19.7	9.0	144	213	357	84	308	392	-35	3	164.8	169.2	1	109.5	109.6	143.6	59
CHARLOTTE	2	2	1	1	1	19.5	30.0	-10.5	148	180	327	138	208	346	-19	-8	114.6	127.3	-5	91.0	92.7	107.8	-44
CONNECTICUT	2	2	2	2	0	16.5	17.2	-0.7	108	212	320	170	125	295	25	0	162.8	171.6	10	102.7	97.0	140.4	-8
BYU	2	2	3	1	0	22.8	26.8	-4.0	104	247	351	185	229	414	-63	4	181.8	190.1	1	99.6	98.6	151.5	13
N CAROLINA U	3	1	2	2	0	38.8	14.8	24.0	217	268	486	229	114	342	143	0	185.0	166.4	12	115.6	109.5	152.3	26
GEORGIA TECH	2	2	2	2	0	44.0	20.0	24.0	326	118	444	154	160	314	130	5	206.0	157.3	-5	115.4	117.0	161.4	20
ARMY	1	3	3	1	0	31.0	28.0	3.0	294	92	387	158	262	420	-33	1	152.8	155.0	1	103.0	105.5	133.3	29
PENN STATE	3	1	2	2	0	25.5	16.2	9.3	170	175	345	90	191	282	63	5	182.4	165.4	1	104.1	114.5	149.7	15
BOWLING GREEN	2	2	3	1	0	38.5	39.5	-1.0	150	442	592	197	281	478	114	1	154.8	183.3	12	102.5	100.0	139.3	-26
BUFFALO	2	2	3	1	0	29.8	20.0	9.8	154	226	380	184	183	367	13	3	157.4	151.5	5	110.8	105.8	138.0	34
TOLEDO	3	0	2	1	0	27.7	14.0	13.7	140	228	368	99	303	402	-34	5	169.6	191.1	9	116.5	115.5	150.3	78
BALL STATE	2	2	2	2	0	29.5	33.2	-3.7	232	208	440	196	282	479	-39	4	153.0	158.1	1	97.2	103.8	133.2	-16
WYOMING	0	4	1	3	0	21.0	35.2	-14.2	154	249	402	207	223	430	-28	-2	152.2	148.9	-10	84.6	89.8	126.5	-46
APPALACHIAN ST	2	1	2	1	0	36.0	13.7	22.3	298	193	492	73	174	246	245	1	161.7	153.4	8	119.3	119.2	140.9	-26
OHIO U	3	1	4	0	0	31.2	19.8	11.4	175	245	420	152	210	362	58	6	156.4	159.2	11	111.0	111.1	139.4	19
AKRON	2	2	1	3	0	24.2	22.0	2.2	174	181	355	103	230	333	22	3	148.6	156.6	6	103.1	107.2	131.5	-3
MIAMI OHIO	1	3	2	2	0	18.2	39.5	-21.3	136	204	340	182	288	471	-130	-4	143.4	164.4	-2	84.6	87.2	125.5	-15
KENT STATE	1	3	2	2	0	21.0	27.8	-6.8	166	163	329	82	137	218	110	2	145.8	160.6	4	94.7	103.9	129.8	-72
KANSAS U	0	3	0	2	1	25.0	41.0	-16.0	181	245	426	254	288	542	-117	3	177.7	166.6	-4	87.6	96.6	141.5	-32
IOWA STATE	1	2	2	1	0	23.7	22.7	1.0	116	251	367	186	176	362	5	0	179.7	173.1	6	100.4	97.6	146.1	-2
PURDUE U	1	3	1	3	0	30.2	35.2	-5.0	164	257	421	174	266	440	-19	-1	174.8	170.3	0	94.8	97.3	142.4	-12
MICHIGAN ST	4	0	0	4	0	33.2	20.8	12.4	163	210	372	119	277	396	-24	6	202.6	179.1	-5	104.1	113.9	159.9	68
FLA INTRNTIONL	2	2	3	1	0	23.2	22.8	0.4	137	262	399	134	220	354	45	-2	153.7	160.9	8	101.9	98.1	134.7	-29
MASSACHUSETTS	0	3	1	2	0	21.3	45.0	-23.7	113	315	428	305	261	566	-137	-1	143.9	179.0	2	83.8	85.0	127.3	-53
MINNESOTA U	3	1	1	3	0	19.2	18.5	0.7	170	220	390	151	161	312	78	-2	189.0	173.8	-6	98.3	99.9	150.0	-38
NORTHWESTERN	4	0	3	1	0	25.0	8.8	16.2	265	146	411	128	138	266	145	2	186.0	173.4	8	113.8	116.8	156.7	-16
LOUISVILLE	1	3	3	1	0	29.2	22.0	7.2	154	255	409	172	176	348	61	0	189.0	185.0	2	104.3	102.5	152.0	-8
N CAROLINA ST	4	0	4	0	0	46.2	12.0	34.2	259	220	478	50	156	206	273	4	190.6	133.4	6	126.0	130.6	153.2	15
WEST VIRGINIA	3	0	2	1	0	43.3	7.7	35.6	220	323	543	153	154	307	236	9	193.8	150.1	6	126.9	126.2	158.6	23
OKLAHOMA U	3	0	2	1	0	41.3	21.7	19.6	182	371	553	148	213	361	192	-4	195.5	167.5	2	109.8	102.3	154.1	-3
IOWA U	4	0	3	1	0	37.8	17.8	20.0	196	243	439	84	211	295	144	1	187.6	170.8	5	115.8	114.3	155.0	8
WISCONSIN U	3	1	2	2	0	32.8	9.5	23.3	188	220	408	83	210	292	116	3	196.0	166.7	1	115.7	122.1	158.3	26
PITTSBURGH	2	1	2	1	0	31.0	23.7	7.3	169	180	349	94	200	293	56	-3	183.1	161.4	0	103.6	101.3	146.5	-4
VIRGINIA TECH	2	2	2	2	0	36.2	26.0	10.2	206	248	453	205	170	375	78	7	189.2	176.1	3	106.2	109.6	154.6	-5
MISSISSIPPI ST	3	1	3	1	0	33.0	14.8	18.2	145	304	448	179	170	349	99	-2	200.4	165.6	-2	112.4	103.8	156.7	16
TEXAS A&M	4	0	3	1	0	41.5	22.0	19.5	186	285	472	179	188	367	104	0	198.7	171.9	5	111.6	107.0	157.7	25
CENT FLORIDA	0	4	0	4	0	12.5	23.2	-10.7	70	173	244	133	255	388	-144	-5	166.9	164.2	-11	88.3	83.4	134.5	10
TULANE	1	2	1	2	0	18.3	36.3	-18.0	100	238	339	246	220	466	-127	0	154.4	180.4	1	85.5	87.4	132.4	-9
W KENTUCKY	3	1	2	1	1	36.5	25.5	11.0	94	400	493	200	274	474	19	7	168.4	170.7	4	109.7	105.9	143.2	24
RICE	2	2	3	1	0	34.8	38.0	-3.2	228	225	453	209	249	458	-5	-2	165.1	159.3	-4	98.3	98.1	139.2	-9
KANSAS ST	3	0	1	2	0	34.3	12.0	22.3	175	195	370	78	237	315	54	1	202.7	145.0	-3	113.9	117.3	156.3	71
OKLAHOMA ST	4	0	2	2	0	38.8	15.5	23.3	160	321	482	129	170	300	182	4	196.3	144.5	4	113.8	114.7	154.7	7
HOUSTON U	3	0	2	1	0	48.3	23.0	25.3	286	305	591	66	313	379	212	7	171.9	155.8	7	120.1	124.0	147.7	12
TULSA	2	1	2	1	0	41.7	39.0	2.7	216	391	607	270	305	575	32	-1	155.9	170.5	7	108.8	98.0	137.8	-1
ARIZONA ST	2	2	0	4	0	25.0	27.8	-2.8	164	268	431	180	196	376	55	-3	190.6	174.8	-9	90.2	96.2	149.1	-53
UCLA	4	0	2	1	1	37.8	18.0	19.8	234	248	482	198	163	361	121	1	198.4	180.9	7	112.6	109.8	159.9	22
ARIZONA U	3	1	2	2	0	48.2	30.2	18.0	329	226	556	162	262	424	132	1	193.6	146.7	-3	109.3	110.6	152.7	5
STANFORD	3	1	3	1	0	30.0	19.5	10.5	184	240	423	132	198	331	92	-1	201.0	181.0	-1	106.9	105.1	158.8	-7
TEXAS U	1	3	1	3	0	29.0	35.2	-6.2	166	178	345	206	277	483	-138	6	189.1	179.5	-3	93.4	101.3	150.1	42
TCU	4	0	1	3	0	51.0	28.2	22.8	235	402	636	171	235	406	231	1	207.4	164.0	-11	110.9	110.2	160.7	-7
AIR FORCE	2	1	3	0	0	40.3	19.3	21.0	367	97	464	93	176	270	195	0	178.2	160.6	7	120.1	115.8	150.6	-9
NAVY	3	0	3	0	0	40.3	16.3	24.0	363	49	412	128	224	352	61	4	170.8	148.6	4	119.3	123.2	146.7	72
ALABAMA U	3	1	1	3	0	35.8	17.5	18.3	202	258	460	57	210	267	193	-1	210.0	181.4	-3	107.7	114.4	163.8	-16
GEORGIA U	4	0	1	2	0	45.5	13.5	32.0	258	234	491	107	166	273	218	2	207.8	165.4	-4	119.0	120.6	164.2	13
MISSISSIPPI U	4	0	3	1	0	54.8	19.2	35.6	199	344	544	156	202	358	186	4	207.4	168.3	8	126.0	115.3	165.5	28
FLORIDA U	4	0	3	1	0	33.5	18.2	15.3	155	249	404	105	193	298	106	2	196.8	167.6	-2	109.0	111.2	157.6	14
BOSTON COLLEGE	3	1	0	3	0	29.2	7.8	21.4	231	110	341	46	72	118	223	2	189.4	155.5	2	114.6	125.5	155.4	38
DUKE	3	1	3	1	0	34.0	11.5	22.5	209	239	448	113	148	261	187	-3	191.4	165.5	11	119.8</			

LOGICAL APPROACH's 2015 COLLEGE FOOTBALL SPREADSHEET – Current College Data Complete through Saturday, September 26, 2015

TEAM	W	L	C	N	P	AVE PTS FOR	AVE PTS AGT	AVE MGN	AVE RUN YPG	AVE PAS YPG	AVE TOT YPG	AVE RUN YPG	AVE PAS YPG	AVE TOT YPG	AVE NET YPG	NET T/O	AVE PWR RTG	AVE OPP PWR RTG	NET PWR RTG CHG	LINE POWR	GAME PLAY POWR	COMP POWR	'X' FCTR
OHIO STATE	4	0	1	3	0	34.5	12.2	22.3	232	204	436	122	132	253	183	-1	211.6	166.9	-12	108.1	114.1	162.7	1
INDIANA U	4	0	3	1	0	38.2	32.0	6.2	236	286	522	138	360	499	23	6	175.6	156.8	2	102.0	112.6	144.3	8
N ILLINOIS	2	2	3	1	0	30.5	23.2	7.3	155	228	383	163	210	372	10	3	164.2	169.2	6	106.0	104.7	142.6	23
C MICHIGAN	1	3	3	0	1	20.2	23.5	-3.3	77	327	404	142	171	312	91	-3	156.4	170.1	3	101.0	90.1	135.8	-75
TEXAS TECH	3	1	3	1	0	53.8	36.0	17.8	197	397	594	255	302	556	38	5	191.9	177.2	15	116.4	108.2	157.5	17
BAYLOR	3	0	1	2	0	64.0	23.0	41.0	380	387	767	157	162	318	449	0	209.0	142.2	4	122.3	116.7	159.0	-24
HAWAII U	2	2	2	2	0	18.8	28.2	-9.4	97	200	297	223	191	414	-117	-3	156.8	180.7	4	96.5	86.8	136.6	6
BOISE ST	3	1	2	2	0	37.0	15.5	21.5	170	265	436	44	238	283	153	4	182.6	173.7	7	117.8	120.2	154.1	16
OREGON U	2	2	1	3	0	42.5	40.8	1.7	285	240	525	174	301	475	51	0	207.8	159.2	-20	91.1	103.7	155.7	-6
COLORADO U	3	1	1	2	1	35.8	16.5	19.3	272	206	478	148	194	341	136	5	181.1	147.3	2	110.8	116.7	146.2	8
WASHINGTON ST	2	1	1	2	0	28.3	24.0	4.3	87	363	449	181	187	368	81	2	177.0	155.3	-4	95.9	100.9	141.2	-45
CALIFORNIA	4	0	3	1	0	45.8	22.2	23.6	192	350	542	168	215	383	160	6	188.0	164.2	11	116.8	112.0	153.8	18
SAN JOSE ST	2	2	2	2	0	32.2	27.0	5.2	208	241	448	236	94	331	118	-1	154.8	171.5	11	105.5	100.3	136.4	-62
AUBURN U	2	2	0	4	0	22.0	26.5	-4.5	179	165	344	216	197	414	-69	-5	196.6	181.7	-5	89.2	91.7	150.7	13
IDAHO U	1	3	1	3	0	24.5	46.5	-22.0	120	289	409	314	234	548	-139	-2	141.0	167.4	1	84.8	85.4	124.0	-31
ARKANSAS ST	1	3	2	2	0	25.8	31.5	-5.7	158	205	363	169	234	404	-41	-6	156.7	179.2	5	96.2	93.6	137.9	-4
UL LAFAYETTE	1	2	2	1	0	30.3	30.7	-0.4	240	197	437	211	210	421	16	-6	158.5	159.2	-6	97.4	93.3	134.4	-19
LOUISIANA TECH	2	2	2	2	0	40.0	28.0	12.0	203	319	522	112	272	383	139	-1	175.6	160.2	-2	106.8	106.8	144.6	-21
VANDERBILT	1	3	2	1	1	22.2	19.8	2.4	137	275	412	126	195	321	91	-5	176.4	168.3	7	102.7	96.6	143.9	-47
MID TENNESSEE	2	2	4	0	0	44.5	23.0	21.5	170	315	486	120	231	351	134	0	159.7	148.9	16	120.6	109.3	140.5	3
S CAROLINA U	2	2	2	2	0	22.5	26.2	-3.7	210	158	367	172	240	411	-44	4	188.4	186.4	-4	95.1	104.4	151.7	0
MISSOURI U	3	1	0	4	0	20.8	12.5	8.3	108	220	328	109	146	255	73	0	195.7	151.8	-12	99.8	105.6	150.5	-1
E MICHIGAN	1	3	2	2	0	33.8	38.2	-4.4	187	248	436	373	127	500	-65	-4	134.4	144.0	6	98.3	90.7	120.2	11
LSU	3	0	1	2	0	33.3	21.3	12.0	315	101	416	110	196	306	109	3	200.0	192.3	3	107.1	123.7	164.8	-13
OLD DOMINION	2	2	0	4	0	19.0	32.8	-13.8	152	148	299	230	182	412	-112	0	122.4	148.8	-10	84.3	91.3	116.8	-10
MARSHALL	3	1	2	2	0	33.0	22.0	11.0	166	192	358	189	178	366	-8	-4	174.3	147.0	-10	104.7	100.6	140.8	53
ARKANSAS U	1	3	1	3	0	26.2	23.0	3.2	186	285	471	94	264	358	114	0	202.0	174.9	-13	96.1	106.1	157.0	-57
TENNESSEE U	2	2	2	2	0	41.2	24.8	16.4	248	183	431	146	248	394	37	5	195.6	166.9	0	110.2	115.4	157.3	42
E CAROLINA U	2	2	2	2	0	27.0	31.0	-4.0	110	284	394	230	191	421	-27	-2	167.7	171.4	-4	96.5	92.0	138.6	-4
SMU	1	3	3	1	0	33.5	43.2	-9.7	222	232	454	280	324	603	-149	2	149.9	184.0	12	96.6	96.9	135.5	-7
NORTH TEXAS	0	3	0	3	0	17.7	43.7	-26.0	157	201	358	224	274	498	-140	-5	143.7	161.9	-7	78.7	84.3	122.6	-29
SOUTHERN MISS	2	2	4	0	0	38.0	31.5	6.5	158	342	500	206	252	458	42	0	151.1	157.1	12	107.8	100.4	133.9	-1
SOUTH ALABAMA	2	2	1	3	0	22.2	40.2	-18.0	132	245	377	226	214	440	-63	-4	123.6	166.7	-6	85.9	87.0	119.0	-37
TROY STATE	1	2	2	1	0	22.7	31.0	-8.3	109	202	311	238	171	408	-97	-2	144.7	176.2	9	99.6	85.3	130.1	6
GA SOUTHERN	3	1	3	1	0	33.8	23.5	10.3	358	66	424	160	240	400	24	3	156.9	161.5	6	108.9	110.5	138.5	25
UL MONROE	1	2	2	0	0	20.3	28.3	-8.0	85	227	312	180	153	333	-21	0	150.5	169.5	2	96.8	92.1	134.2	-43
FLORIDA ST	3	0	2	1	0	35.7	10.0	25.7	214	217	431	140	116	256	175	3	198.1	170.5	3	116.5	122.6	161.2	13
WAKE FOREST	2	2	1	3	0	24.8	19.5	5.3	130	300	430	149	145	294	136	-7	172.4	156.6	0	102.2	90.0	139.5	-56
MICHIGAN U	3	1	2	2	0	27.8	9.5	18.3	202	194	396	82	122	204	192	-2	187.2	178.5	10	113.3	114.2	155.2	-2
MARYLAND U	2	2	2	2	0	29.5	32.8	-3.3	196	193	389	200	267	467	-78	-9	178.0	164.0	-6	94.0	92.4	142.4	20
COLORADO ST	2	2	2	1	1	35.5	23.5	12.0	194	268	462	179	176	356	107	-6	173.4	151.4	-3	105.9	100.5	141.3	-21
UTAH STATE	1	2	1	2	0	14.3	21.3	-7.0	113	179	292	107	204	311	-18	-3	164.0	172.5	-3	89.9	92.1	136.5	-19
TEX SAN ANTONI	0	4	2	2	0	20.0	43.5	-23.5	171	212	383	183	263	446	-63	-8	121.4	193.6	2	85.3	83.5	120.3	-50
TEXAS EL PASO	2	2	0	3	1	27.5	45.2	-17.7	159	220	379	196	333	530	-151	-3	151.9	166.5	-10	83.7	89.6	127.2	2
NOTRE DAME	4	0	3	1	0	41.0	19.8	21.2	285	246	531	138	204	342	190	0	179.8	187.4	10	116.5	112.4	154.9	-4
CLEMSON	3	0	2	1	0	36.7	12.3	24.4	188	254	442	115	146	261	181	0	200.8	164.5	0	116.1	114.3	159.3	9
NEW MEXICO ST	0	3	0	2	1	30.7	48.3	-17.6	135	289	423	234	343	577	-153	3	134.8	152.0	0	86.6	95.6	120.8	-6
NEW MEXICO U	2	2	3	1	0	33.8	25.5	8.3	262	139	401	120	263	383	18	4	162.4	143.3	0	105.4	112.6	136.5	26
UNLV	1	3	3	1	0	30.0	27.8	2.2	238	159	398	201	234	435	-37	6	159.8	176.9	12	112.4	106.3	139.2	25
NEVADA RENO	2	2	2	2	0	25.5	31.5	-6.0	210	154	365	193	287	480	-115	4	158.6	174.3	-1	96.3	104.0	137.2	27
FRESNO STATE	1	3	0	3	1	25.5	45.0	-19.5	150	192	342	190	254	444	-102	-1	156.0	168.0	-5	82.7	91.7	131.5	0
SAN DIEGO ST	1	3	0	4	0	23.0	27.2	-4.2	140	154	294	139	257	396	-102	1	171.4	145.1	-8	92.0	98.5	136.5	21
FLA ATLANTIC	1	3	1	2	1	24.0	32.8	-8.8	167	240	406	180	230	410	-4	-3	146.7	160.0	-2	91.8	95.0	127.4	-42
GEORGIA ST	1	2	2	1	0	27.3	38.7	-11.4	139	330	468	186	306	492	-24	-3	110.0	154.0	7	94.8	92.4	112.2	-47
KENTUCKY U	3	1	2	2	0	24.0	20.5	3.5	155	206	361	168	202	370	-9	2	184.6	182.2	4	102.5	103.5	151.3	19
OREGON ST	2	2	1	3	0	23.0	26.2	-3.2	188	150	338	178	153	331	7	2	179.4	165.3	-4	95.6	101.2	145.1	-23
RUTGERS	2	2	1	2	1	31.8	23.0	8.8	211	226	437	118	274	392	45	-7	179.0	157.8	-4	103.8	100.3	143.4	5
SYRACUSE	3	1	3	1	0	32.8	19.5	13.3	188	162	351	102	255	357	-6	6	176.6	161.9	3	111.5	115.0	150.2	63
TEXAS ST	1	3	1	3	0	35.8	49.5	-13.7	207	278	485	248	337	585	-100	-3	145.0	158.0	-6	87.4	91.8	127.4	-15
UTAH U	4	0	3	1	0	38.8	18.8	20.0	204	190	394	135	238	373	20	7	188.0	173.7	8	119.2	115.5	156.3	90
SOUTHERN CAL	3	1	3	1	0	46.8	17.5	29.3	176	356	532	164	246	410	122	6	202.2	168.1	4	120.5	117.7	163.4	65
VIRGINIA U	1	3	1	2	1	23.0	38.2	-15.2	94	256	350	160	286	445	-96	-9	183.8	179.0	-11	84.7	78.7	1	

